

\*E-Filed 12/29/11\*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JAMES EARLY HARVEY,

No. C 11-4332 RS (PR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER OF SERVICE;**

v.

**DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO FILE  
DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR NOTICE  
REGARDING SUCH MOTION;**

J. HINDT, et al.,

Defendants.

**INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK**

**INTRODUCTION**

This is a federal civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a *pro se* state prisoner. The Court now reviews the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a).

**DISCUSSION**

**A. Standard of Review**

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may

No. C 11-4332 RS (PR)  
ORDER OF SERVICE

1 be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See id.*  
 2 § 1915A(b)(1),(2). *Pro se* pleadings must be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v. Pacifica*  
 3 *Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

4 A “complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a claim  
 5 to relief that is plausible on its face.’” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009)  
 6 (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). “A claim has facial  
 7 plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the  
 8 reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Id.* (quoting  
 9 *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court “is not required to accept legal conclusions  
 10 cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably be drawn from  
 11 the facts alleged.” *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754–55 (9th Cir. 1994).  
 12 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements:  
 13 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and  
 14 (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law.  
 15 *See West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

#### 16 **B. Legal Claims**

17 Plaintiff alleges that (1) J. Hindt, a correctional officer at Pelican Bay State Prison  
 18 violated his constitutional rights by interfering with plaintiff’s receipt of his confidential  
 19 legal mail; and (2) T.L. Scott, Sergeant Hallock, and Lieutenant Thompson, all correctional  
 20 officers at Pelican Bay, violated his Eighth Amendment rights by placing him in a  
 21 disciplinary cell for refusing to submit to a strip search. Liberally construed, Claim 1 is  
 22 cognizable under § 1983. Claim 2 is DISMISSED without prejudice because it is unrelated  
 23 to the first claim. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 & 20. If plaintiff seeks relief on the second claim,  
 24 he may file a separate civil rights action. T.L. Scott, Sergeant Hallock, and Lieutenant  
 25 Thompson are hereby TERMINATED as defendants.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint in this matter, all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon J. Hindt, a correctional officer at Pelican Bay State Prison. The Clerk shall also mail courtesy copies of the complaint and this order to the California Attorney General's Office.

2. No later than ninety (90) days from the date of this order, defendant shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above.

a. If defendant elects to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), defendant shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119–20 (9th Cir. 2003), cert. denied *Alameida v. Terhune*, 540 U.S. 810 (2003).

b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If any defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served on defendant no later than forty-five (45) days from the date defendant's motion is filed.

a. In the event the defendant files an unenumerated motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b), plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:

The defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the

1 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative  
2 remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you  
3 are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly  
4 supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply  
5 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations,  
6 depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the  
7 defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your  
8 claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if  
9 appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

10 b. In the event defendant files a motion for summary judgment,  
11 the Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to plaintiffs:

12 The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they  
13 seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the  
14 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

15 Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary  
16 judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of  
17 material fact — that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result  
18 of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter  
19 of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary  
20 judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot  
21 simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in  
22 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided  
23 in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents  
24 and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your  
25 own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you.  
26 If summary judgment is granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there  
27 will be no trial. *See Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff  
28

1 is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and *Celotex Corp. v.*  
2 *Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward  
3 with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim).  
4 Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to defendants' motion for summary  
5 judgment may be deemed to be a consent by plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and  
6 granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52,  
7 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); *Brydges v. Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

8 4. Defendant shall file a reply brief no later than fifteen (15) days after plaintiff's  
9 opposition is filed.

10 5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No  
11 hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

12 6. All communications by the plaintiff with the Court must be served on  
13 defendant, or defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy  
14 of the document to defendant or defendant's counsel.

15 7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil  
16 Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local  
17 Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

18 8. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the  
19 court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely  
20 fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute  
21 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

22 9. Extensions of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be  
23 extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 DATED: December 29, 2011

  
26 RICHARD SEEBORG  
27 United States District Judge  
28